1	THE ARBITRATOR: There is another page.
2	MR. CLIFF: It cuts off.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: Do you have it, Scott?
4	MR. CLIFF: I'm not sure that I do. This
5	wasn't obviously one of ours.
6	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, that letter has
7	been a concern to me, and I was hopeful that I
8	didn't want to have it influence any decision that I
9	might make. But it and it won't, but it I did
10	need for Mr. Rote at some point during these
11	proceedings to explain it to me. There is at least
12	one more page to that letter.
13	MS. MARSHALL: Here's what I propose as a
14	solution. We searched for a Bates stamp document
15	because this would obviously be Mr. Rote's letter.
16	THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.
17	MS. MARSHALL: And we searched for a Bates
18	stamp document for this series of letters and
19	couldn't find them. We concluded that it might be
20	just because we couldn't find them. If there is
21	one, that would be good.
22	THE ARBITRATOR: There is, and I can find
23	it in my file. The second page and I'll
24	characterize this accuses either Judge Kugler or
25	his staff of some rather serious misconduct, which

1	caused Judge Kugler to promptly call for a hearing
2	with respect to those accusations. And I as I
3	say, I as I read this letter, I was concerned
4	about it. I don't know that it has anything to do
5	with the merits of this case, but I do know I don't
6	want to be left with the wrong impression of that
7	letter. And I would like to have an explanation
8	from Mr. Rote as to the reasons for the letter so
9	that I can put it behind me.
10	MR. CLIFF: Okay. Thank you for sharing
11	that.
12	MS. MARSHALL: Okay. When I get to it I
13	had intended to allow him the opportunity, but I
14	guess I would like you see, Mr. Cliff and I are
15	both at somewhat of a disadvantage because
16	THE ARBITRATOR: You don't have the second
17	page?
18	MS. MARSHALL: Well, I don't have the
19	second page. But we were both missing when a lot of
20	you probably have more history than we do.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: That could very well be,
22	and I will say that last week I went through the
23	entire file and I wanted to make sure that I
24	understood the character of the dispute, and as I
25	said, this letter caused me some concern. Whether

1	it does or does not have any bearing on the merits
2	of this case, as I say, I want to make sure that it
3	does not influence any decision. I'm confident it
4	will not, but an explanation from Mr. Rote as to the
5	reason it was written would be helpful to me. Fair?
6	MR. CLIFF: Certainly. Thank you for
7	requesting that. We'll address that at the
8	appropriate time if it's not handled on direct or
9	cross, I mean.
10	MS. MARSHALL: Would it be possible I
11	mean is there a way you can determine whether
12	ATTORNEY 3: The only thing I can do
13	MS. MARSHALL: you have a Bates stamp
14	document?
15	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, if you want to take
16	some time, I can probably find
17	MS. MARSHALL: It would be helpful for me.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: I can probably find the
19	letter.
20	MS. MARSHALL: Because I spent a lot of
21	time looking for it, and I know that Sandy spent a
22	lot of time and she's been around a long time.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, it could be that
24	I'm mistaken about there being a second page. There
25	is another letter dated May 31.

1	MS. MARSHALL: There were two letters,
2	yeah, but you're talking about the first one, I
3	think.
4	THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, I'm talking about
5	the first one, May 22. And I thought there were two
6	pages to it. Let's take a look. Yes, I do have the
7	second page here.
8	MR. CLIFF: Second page of this Exhibit
9	63?
10	THE REPORTER: Would you like me to go
11	make copies?
12	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's go off the record.
13	(Brief recess.)
14	MS. MARSHALL: I just was asking that you
15	reserve your thinking as to how important that is to
16	the issues of the case, because I hope to convince
17	you that it's important to and supported by legal
18	analysis and case law.
19	analysis and case law.
18 19 20 21	analysis and case law. THE ARBITRATOR: Certainly I will give you
19 20	analysis and case law. THE ARBITRATOR: Certainly I will give you that opportunity. My initial reaction to it was
19 20 21	analysis and case law. THE ARBITRATOR: Certainly I will give you that opportunity. My initial reaction to it was that it was not relevant to the issues in front of
19 20 21 22	analysis and case law. THE ARBITRATOR: Certainly I will give you that opportunity. My initial reaction to it was that it was not relevant to the issues in front of me. But I'll certainly give you the opportunity to

1	for the letter because it it does accuse a
2	federal judge and his staff of some pretty serious
3	misconduct and it had an impact on me.
4	It does not prejudice me with respect to
5	any ruling that I might have in this case, and you
6	certainly have an opportunity to to try to
7	persuade me that it has some relevance to the claims
8	or defenses in case my initial response, reaction,
9	was it didn't. But you each have that opportunity
10	to either dissuade me or persuade me that I was
11	right in the first place.
12	MR. CLIFF: Well, and we certainly, when
13	the time came to deal with that, we're going to
14	object on relevancy grounds. But I understand
15	certainly she should be given the chance to argue
16	that and Mr. Rote will attempt to satisfy your
17	concerns, so.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: I was sure he would and I
19	wanted to give him that opportunity.
20	Are we ready to go back then, or?
21	MS. MARSHALL: Is there a way we can get a
22	copy of that second actually a copy both the
23	first and the second page. Because my copy my
24	original the best original I have is the one
25	that's in that exhibit book and it's not anywhere

1 close. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Certainly I'd like to 3 have copies made. Do you want them now? 4 MS. MARSHALL: Just before --5 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, I'll get copies for 6 each of you. BY MS. MARSHALL: 8 I guess it might be helpful for you to Q. have that as I'm doing this. I think we had just finished with Exhibit 60, and when Mr. Crow asked if 10 I was headed towards 63, and I said I was. 11 And so 12 let me ask you, let me preface this by saying, first 1.3 of all you weren't much -- you weren't very happy 14 with the judge's order that the case not be moved to 15 Oregon and that it be sent back to state court in 16 New Jersey, correct? 17 Α. That's correct. 18 And in addition you weren't very happy Q. 19 with your attorney's work on those issues and the 20 results that they had achieved either, right? 21 Α. Yeah. As I recall, they actually had failed to file a notice that it was -- it was 22 2.3 because of that notice failure that caused this 24 order to -- caused this to happen. It was actually

a document failure.

25