	Case 3:11-cv-00910-PK Docu	ument 11	Filed 08/26/11	Page 1 of E		
				<i>a</i>	FENDANT'S EXHIBIT 569	
1	Linda L. Marshall, OSB No. 83279 marshall.l@comcast.net					
2	Attorney at Law PMB 408					
3	3 Monroe Parkway Suite P					
4 5	Lake Oswego, OR 97035 Telephone: (503) 699-2082 Facsimile: (971) 269-2888					
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Max Zweizig					
7						
8						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	DISTRICT OF OREGON					
11	PORTL	AND DIV	ISION			
12		,				
13)				
14	NORTHWEST DIRECT TELESERVICE INC., an Oregon corporation,	. S ,)				
15	Plaintiff,)	CASE	NO. 3:11-CV-910-	·PK	
16	V.			INDA L. MARSHA		
17	MAX ZWEIZIG,	/	ETITION FOR AN	FENDANT'S CRC	NG	
18))	PART THE O	ND CONFIRMING	ER	
19	Defendant.		AND AR	BITRATION AWA	RD	
20						
21						
22	1. I make this declarat	tion in sup	port of Defendan	t's Cross-Petition t	for	
23						
24	an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Opinion and Order and Arbitration					
25	Award (hereinafter "Defendant's Cross Petition").					
26	Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacatin Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award 1	gin PM d- 3N Sui Lak	da L. Marshall IB 408 Ionroe Parkway ite P ke Oswego, OR 9703 3) 699-2082 Fax (50			



2. I represented Max Zweizig in the underlying arbitration fror 1 November 2009 through the present. I make this declaration on personal know 2 and am competent to testify to the matters stated herein. 3 3. I hereby certify that Exhibits A through E are full, true, and correct 4 5 copies of the original documents. 6 4. I attended all of the evidentiary hearings in the underlying 7 arbitration, which were held on May 24 through May 28, June 1, September 20, 21, 22 8

and 25, October 26, and November 5, 2011. Attached as Exhibits F and G respectively are Max Zweizig's Post-Hearing Brief Re Claims Asserted by Zweizig (Ex G) and Zweizig's Post-Hearing Reply (Ex F).

Northwest Direct Teleservices, Inc. ("NDT") asserted five claims 5. against Max Zweizig under the rules and procedures of the Arbitration Service of Portland. Northwest Direct Teleservices, Inc. v. Max Zweizig, ASP No. 050511-1. Zweizig asserted two counterclaims against NDT in the arbitration proceeding. Zweizig's first counterclaim was for retaliatory discharge in violation of the Oregon Whistleblower Act, ORS 659A.230. His second counterclaim was for common law wrongful discharge.

6. Portland attorney William B. Crow acted as the single arbitrator in the underlying arbitration. The parties conducted discovery, filed motions, and presented documentary and testimonial evidence in ten days of hearings between June 24, 2011 and November 5, 2011. After the close of the evidence, the parties submitted post-hearing briefs. In both his post-hearing brief and reply, Zweizig requested costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to ORS 659A.885(1), which sets forth the Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Linda L. Marshall Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in PMB 408 Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award -3 Monroe Parkway 2

Suite P

Lake Oswego, OR 97035

(503) 699-2082 Fax (503) 697-8477

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



remedies for violation of ORS 659A.230.

7. On April 5, 2011, Crow rendered a decision in the Opinion Order and Arbitration Award in favor of Zweizig and awarded him \$75.375.00 against NDT. Crow dismissed all of NDT's claims except for one claim that arose during the arbitration proceeding for the payment of arbitration transcripts. Crow awarded NDT \$4,316.94 on that claim only. Despite Zweizig's request for costs and reasonable attorney fees and without any explanation, Crow denied Zweizig's request. A copy of the Arbitration Award is attached as Exhibit A.

8. Zweizig is a citizen of the State of New Jersey, residing in the City of Woodbury, Gloucester County, New Jersey. The records of the Oregon Secretary of State, Corporation Division, show that NDT is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oregon, with its principal place of business in West Linn, Oregon. In the underlying arbitration here, NDT sought in excess of \$596,844.94.

9. The underlying arbitration was conducted pursuant to the Employment Agreement between NDT and Zweizig. A copy of the Employment Agreement is attached as Exhibit B.

10. The underlying arbitration took place in Portland, Oregon. All evidentiary hearings were conducted in Portland. On March 31, 2011, Crow issued his decision in the "Opinion and Order" (Ex C) from his office in Portland. On April 5, 2011, Crow issued the Arbitration Award from his office in Portland. I received the Arbitration Award in my office in Lake Oswego, Oregon.

24

11. The record in the underlying arbitration proceeding shows that as of 2001, NDT together with affiliated corporate entities, all owned by Timothy C. Rote,

Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award -3



NDT owned and operated telemarketing "call" centers in Eugene, Oregon, and Dyersville, Iowa. It relied heavily on computers, IT processes, and IT profession to manage the dialer that made calls from call lists, provided the script for the telemarketers, accumulated data about each call, recorded data concerning sales made, tracked the time each telemarketer worked on each campaigns, and prepared reports and invoices for NDT and its customers.

12. The record in the underlying arbitration shows that in August 2001, Rote hired Zweizig to begin September 1, 2001 as NDT's IT Director. Zweizig's employment was subject to the Employment Agreement. A copy of the Employment Agreement is attached as Exhibit B.

13. The record in the underlying arbitration shows that on October 22 or 23, 2003, Zweizig received an email with an attachment, a spreadsheet, which led Zweizig to believe that someone at NDT was billing clients for hours not worked. He forwarded the spreadsheet to Rote and asked Rote to investigate what Zweizig believed to be an illegal conduct. When Zweizig had not heard from Rote by October 28, 2003 he became concerned that perhaps Rote was himself involved in illegal fraud. Zweizig hired an Oregon attorney, James Egan (now Linn County Circuit Court Judge) to advise him how to protect himself from being implicated in the illegal activity.

14. The record in the underlying arbitration shows that on October 28, 2003, on behalf of Zweizig, Egan sent a letter to the Oregon Department of Justice with a copy by email to Rote. In the letter, Egan stated that Zweizig had come across information that led him to believe that someone in the company might be engaged in an unlawful billing practice. The following day on October 29, 2011, Rote began to Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in DMR 409

Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award -



reassign Zweizig's duties. Brent Kawiuk, Vice President of Client Services for sent Rote a barrage of emails containing information derogatory of Zweizig as were gathering documentation to discipline or discharge Zweizig. Rote terminated Zweizig's employment 16 days later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

15. The record in the underlying arbitration shows that on March 18, 2004, Zweizig filed a complaint in the Superior Court of New Jersey for Gloucester County, *Max Zweizig v. Timothy Rote and Northwest Direct Teleservices, Inc. et al,* Civil No. 04-2025, asserting a claim under the New Jersey whistleblower statute and a claim for common law wrongful discharge. NDT removed the case to the US District Court for New Jersey. However, the federal court determined that removal was not proper and remanded the case to state court. On March 23, 2006 the New Jersey state court dismissed the case leaving the controversy to be resolved through arbitration in Multnomah County.

16 The record in the underlying arbitration shows that on April 15, 2011, Zweizig filed Motions to Reconsider, Modify, and Correct Arbitration Award ("Motion to Reconsider") seeking prevailing party remedies. A copy of Zweizig's Motion to Reconsider is attached as Exhibit D.

17. With the agreement of Crow, I did not serve a detailed statement of costs and attorney fees on behalf of Zweizig. NDT also filed post-award motions.

18. On May 27, 2011, Crow notified the parties by email that he had denied all motions for reconsideration. A copy of Crow's decision denying the Motion to Reconsider is attached as Exhibit E. Crow's ruling states that "I find that the conduct of each of the parties contributed to an improvident increase in the time and expense of Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of

Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award -5

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 569

attorneys involved in this arbitration." Ex E. The finding is not based on the r There was no evidence in the record that Zweizig contributed to an increase in and expense of attorneys. In fact, there was no evidence in the record of any cost or expense of attorneys.

19. The records of the Circuit Court for the State of Oregon, County of Clackamas, show that on July 15, 2011, Timothy C. Rote, the owner and CEO of NDT submitted *pro se* Plaintiff's Petition to Modify or Vacate Arbitration Award, Clackamas Circuit Court Case No. CV11070441.

20. On July 24, 2011, I received an email from Tim Rote with Plaintiff's Petition as an attachment.

21. On July 26, 2011, I accepted delivery of a package containing a CD, which contained Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Modify or Vacate Arbitration Award ("Memorandum") and some 2,238 pages of exhibits. When I checked with the Clerk of the Clackamas Circuit Court, I learned that Rote had not filed the Memorandum or any of the exhibits in the state court action.

22. On August 1, 2011, I removed the action to federal court based on diversity jurisdiction. I filed Plaintiff's Petition with the Notice of Removal, but did not file the Memorandum and exhibits because they were not part of the state court file.

23. After Crow issued the Arbitration Award, Rote filled a complaint against Crow with the Oregon State Bar. I have checked with the investigator handling the complaint, ant the Bar complaint against Crow is still under investigation.

24 25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENT IS TRUE TO

Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award -6

	Case 3:11-cv-00910-PK Document 11 Filed 08/26/11 Page 7 of 🔄
	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT
	569
1	THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AND THAT I UNDERSTAND
2	MADE FOR POSSIBLE USE AS EVIDENCE IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED CA
3	IS SUBJECT TO PENALTY FOR PERJURY.
4	
5	DATED: August 26, 2011. Digitally signed by Linda Marshall DN: cn=Linda Marshall, o=Linda
6	Anda A Marshall, Atty. At Law, ou, email=marshall.l@comcast.net, c=US
7	Date: 2011.08.26 16:11:18 -07'00'
8	Linda L. Marshall OSB No. 832793 marshall.l@comcast.net
9	Telephone: (503) 699-2082 Attorney for Defendant Max Zweizig
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award - 7 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (503) 699-2082 Fax (503) 697-8477

Case 3:11-cv-00910-PK	Document 11	Filed 08/26/11	Page 8 o
-----------------------	-------------	----------------	----------



	DEFE					
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that I served the foregoing Declaration of Linda					
3	Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Confirming in Part					
4	and Vacating in Part the Opinion and Order and Arbitration Award on the following					
5	named person on the date indicated below by:					
6 7 8	[X] Electronic Delivery [X] First Class Mail [] Facsimile [] Hand Delivery					
9	to said person a true copy thereof, and addressed to said person at the addresses					
10	indicated below:					
11						
12 13	Jeffrey I. Hasson 12707 NE Halsey St. Portland, OR 97230 hasson@dhlaw.biz Of Attorneys for Plaintiff					
14						
15						
16	DATED: August 26, 2011.					
17	Digitally signed by Linda Marshall					
18	Anda A Marshall, o=Linda Arnda A Marshall, Atty. At Law, ou, email=marshall.j@comcast.net, ==15					
19	Date: 2011.08.26 16:10:32 -07'00'					
20	Linda L. Marshall OSB No. 83279					
21	marshall.l@comcast.net (503) 699-2082					
22	Attorney for Defendant Max Zweizig					
23						
24						
25						
26	Declaration of Linda L. Marshall in Support of Defendant's Cross-Petition for an Order Vacating in Part and Confirming in Part the Arbitration Award - 8 Linda L. Marshall PMB 408 3 Monroe Parkway Suite P Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (503) 699-2082 Fax (503) 697-8477					